



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/537,288                        | 03/29/2000                | Masao Okada          | 862.C1871               | 7078             |  |
| 5514                              | 7590 08/23/2004           |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO |                           |                      | HOTALING                | HOTALING, JOHN M |  |
| 30 ROCKEFI<br>NEW YORK            | ELLER PLAZA<br>. NY 10112 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                   | ,                         |                      | 3713                    |                  |  |
|                                   |                           |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ·  |   |  | H                                   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | 7                                   |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action  | 09/537,288  | OKADA ET AL.   |                                     |  |  |  |
| Tarious y Trouble  | Examiner  | Art Unit   | V                                   |  |  |  |
|  | John M Hotaling II  | 3713   |                                     |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add                                    | ress                                |  |  |  |
| Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | ) a timely filed amendment whicled (with appeal fee); or (3) a timeled  | ation. A proper reply<br>h places the applica        | tion in                             |  |  |  |
| _  | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |                                     |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH                  | g date of the final rejectine HE FINAL REJECTION.    | on.<br>See MPEP                     |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period cee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amount<br>the shortened statutory period for reply<br>be later than three months after the mai | ount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final | opriate extension Office action; or |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 July 2004</u>. Appe<br/>37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>  |   | •  | in                                  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | ecause:   |  |                                     |  |  |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |   |  |                                     |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |   |  |                                     |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or sir                               | mplifying the                       |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |   |  |                                     |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  |   |  |                                     |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | •   |  |                                     |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed                                | amendment                           |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:   |   | dered but does NO                                    | T place the                         |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ause it is not directed SOLELY  | o issues which were                                  | e newly                             |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment<br/>explanation of how the new or amended claims we</li> </ol>   |   |  | and an                              |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |  |                                     |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |  |                                     |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |  |                                     |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>17,19-28 and 32-35</u> .   |   |  |                                     |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |                                     |  |  |  |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app   | roved or b)  disapproved by t   | he Examiner.   |                                     |  |  |  |
| 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | <del>_</del> .                                       |                                     |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   |   | //   |                                     |  |  |  |
|  | JOHNM   | HOTALING, II<br>Y EXAMINER                           |                                     |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                     |  |  |  |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The claims contain amendments that do not place the case in condition for allowance and need to be considered. .